

~~SECRET~~

To: 7404/7417.

Memo. No 474.

From: 7408.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
DATE: JUL 2004 13 March 1945.

In reply to your Memo. 103 dated 11th inst.

2. Please note that I have received 39 tins in the series CREEP 65/121 A to CREEP 164 A with the exception of Numbers 151, 152, 154, 161 and 163. This makes 39 tins in all.
3. You will note that none of these figures reconcile with Headquarter's packing list which refers to 40 items Numbers 121 A to 160 A.
4. As it is apparently difficult for you to strictly go by the various numbering and lettering of CREEP material I am wondering whether it is necessary to quote them in your correspondence with me. As long as you do this I am obliged to check numbers which you quote with the numbers of material received at this end.
5. In this case now with CREEP 65 we have received 39 tins as against the 11 advised by you and the 40 mentioned in London's packing list. This note quotes top number 160 A as against the top number 164 A, received at this end.
6. I feel that matters would be greatly simplified if each CREEP number covered a specific pack so that you and London, in your advices, could simply say so many items ex CREEP number so and so. Naturally this means that the A and B business should be dropped otherwise confusion will arise.
7. If the principle I suggest could be adopted it would simplify my stock-keeping and distribution at this end and our weekly CREEP telegram would also be greatly simplified.

7408

~~SECRET~~

NND 921119-921